

Audit Findings & Management Action Plan – December 2023

Finding 1.	Action												
<p><b><u>KPI spreadsheet</u></b></p> <p>Key Performance Indicator (KPI) data is automatically calculated within the system. However, in order to report on this data, it must be extracted from the system by the Data Reporting and Performance Lead and manually copied into an excel based spreadsheet for weekly, monthly, and quarterly reporting. This reporting process is time consuming and requires a lot of manual intervention by the Data Reporting and Performance Lead.</p> <p>The report produced by the Data Reporting and Performance Lead is not subject to formal supervisory review by management prior to internal circulation; although we were informed by the Administration Lead that they scrutinise the information regularly. In addition to this, reports are reviewed by management on a weekly basis and any inaccuracies identified would be investigated. However, there is no evidence that this process occurs which would ensure that, should the data be inaccurate, it is rectified prior to Committee/Board reporting. To ensure both the validity of the data and also to negate the risk that the Data Reporting and Performance Lead is wholly responsible for the data it would be wise to ensure that any supervisory checks can be evidenced.</p> <p>The formulae included within the KPI spreadsheet are not locked and therefore subject to potential errors or loss of information. From our review of the spreadsheet, we found that there were manual errors on a pivot table. When discussed with management we have been informed they do not use this pivot table and it was agreed during the review that the pivot table would either be rectified or deleted to avoid any risk should it be used in the future.</p> <p>It is important to note that whilst we did not find any errors in the data held in the spreadsheet, due to the way the reports are extracted and processed makes it vulnerable to potential misstatements in the future.</p> <p>Management may want to consider exploring the possibility of extracting reports directly from the system to minimise manual interventions and mitigating the risks of errors and manipulations.</p>	<p>We will ensure that the pivot table in the KPI excel spreadsheet is either updated or deleted and ensure that the formulae used are locked down to prevent accidental changes.</p> <p>We will include a point of formal review prior to the reports being circulated internally with appropriate documentation retained in our process guides that are currently being drafted.</p> <p>As a longer-term ambition, we will begin to explore the capabilities of the system for reporting in order to fully mitigate the risks associated with using the Excel spreadsheet.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1135 1161 2121 1329"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1135 1161 1379 1209"><b>Priority</b></td> <td data-bbox="1379 1161 1624 1209" style="background-color: #FFD700;">2</td> <td data-bbox="1624 1161 1861 1209"><b>SWAP Reference</b></td> <td data-bbox="1861 1161 2121 1209">AP#3489</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1135 1209 1624 1262"><b>Responsible Officer</b></td> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="1624 1209 2121 1262">Pensions Administration Lead</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1135 1262 1624 1329"><b>Timescale</b></td> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="1624 1262 2121 1329">31<sup>st</sup> March 2024</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Priority</b>	2	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3489	<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead			<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024		
<b>Priority</b>	2	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3489										
<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead												
<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024												

Finding 2.	Action												
<p><b>Data Accuracy</b></p> <p>In the previous Key Controls review it was highlighted that there were inaccuracies in the KPI data for aggregations and Status 2. Work is ongoing to ensure that the data is accurate and is partially reliant on the work that has been outsourced being completed.</p> <p>As part of the follow up process and through this review it is evident that terminated cases are now being reported to reconcile differences between closing and opening balances. The ability to delete cases does still exist however, it would be much more readily identified if the terminated cases did not provide the balancing figure.</p> <p>Weekly internal reports provide information to management which would flag if there were any discrepancies in the data. As per Finding 1, this process is not documented so we were unable to confirm that this happens in practice.</p>	<p>This is partly incorporated in the action agreed for AP#3489 in Finding 1 regarding documenting of process guides. The outsourced work is due for completion at the end of 2023 and we should then have accurate reporting available for the areas identified in the Key Controls review.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;"><b>Priority</b></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;"><b>2</b></td> <td style="width: 25%;"><b>SWAP Reference</b></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">AP#3493</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Responsible Officer</b></td> <td colspan="3">Pensions Administration Lead</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Timescale</b></td> <td colspan="3">31<sup>st</sup> March 2024</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3493	<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead			<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024		
<b>Priority</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3493										
<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead												
<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024												

Finding 3.	Action												
<p><b>Strategy document</b></p> <p>The Pension Fund Strategy does not define a clear period. The current Strategy is dated for the year 2022 but was not implemented until July of 2022. Consideration should be made to ensuring it states the correct time period for which it is applicable whilst it is being updated e.g. Strategy 2023-25 and a paragraph added documenting the agreed schedule for review e.g. annually, 3 yearly or sooner if legislation or process change requires.</p> <p>Furthermore, we observed that the user guidance which is a document that aids with extracting and reporting data is outdated and does not reflect the current Pensions Team structure.</p>	<p>We are in the process of revising the Pension Fund Strategy document and will include these observations in the review.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;"><b>Priority</b></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;"><b>3</b></td> <td style="width: 25%;"><b>SWAP Reference</b></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">AP#3483</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Responsible Officer</b></td> <td colspan="3">Pensions Administration Lead</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Timescale</b></td> <td colspan="3">31<sup>st</sup> March 2024</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3483	<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead			<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024		
<b>Priority</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3483										
<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead												
<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024												

Finding 4.	Action
------------	--------

**Committee reports**

Committee reports have been improved over the past 6 months. Previous reports reviewed for March 2023 were unclear and difficult to analyse. The direction of travel indicator has been replaced with a traffic light style dot which indicates if performance is within a 10% tolerable performance. Consideration should be given as to whether this can be improved by the introduction of an amber light. For example, green would indicate at or above target, amber within 5-10% of target and red more than 10% below. This should be considered alongside the KPI Improvement Plan to ensure that reporting parameters remain consistent.

In addition, some of the text surrounding the tables as footnotes is unclear such as the note referring to red indicating anything below 10% of target but in the chart 85% on a 95% target is shown in red. We appreciate this is a relatively minor point to but for clarity a full key of colours used in the tables should be presented each time.

Terminated cases are presented to the Committee/Board in the KPI table. This now ensures that there is transparency regarding any discrepancies relating to closing and opening balances. However, some commentary relating to the reason, or example circumstances, for terminated cases would be beneficial to ensure that new readers of the report are aware of why cases would be terminated.

We continue to revise reporting based on member feedback but agree that further revisions will make reporting more transparent and easier for non-Pension specialists reading these public reports to understand.

<b>Priority</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>SWAP Reference</b>	AP#3490
<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Pensions Administration Lead		
<b>Timescale</b>	31 <sup>st</sup> March 2024		